The Business Service Representation Language A Preliminary Report

A.K. Ghose¹ L.S. Le¹ K. Hoesch-Klohe¹ E. Morrison¹

¹Decision Systems Lab School of Computer Science and Software Engineering University of Wollongong

A.K. Ghose, L.S. Le, K. Hoesch-Klohe, E. Morrison The Business Service Representation Language A Prelimin

Outline



- 2 The BSRL Meta-Model
 - Meta-Model
 - Assumptions
 - QoS Specifications
 - Penalties
- 3 Value / Strategy Modeling
- ④ Service Evolution

5 Summary

Introduction.

- Part of the drivers for this research has been our work with Australian government agencies (we have modeled 100 Services and 60 Strategies across a number of departments)
- Business services as human-mediated activities and functionality through a systems lens
- Supporting the description of complex business functionality using abstract but natural definitions.

• • = • • = •

Introduction.

- Part of the drivers for this research has been our work with Australian government agencies (we have modeled 100 Services and 60 Strategies across a number of departments)
- Business services as human-mediated activities and functionality through a systems lens
- Supporting the description of complex business functionality using abstract but natural definitions.

• • = • • = •

Introduction.

- Part of the drivers for this research has been our work with Australian government agencies (we have modeled 100 Services and 60 Strategies across a number of departments)
- Business services as human-mediated activities and functionality through a systems lens
- Supporting the description of complex business functionality using abstract but natural definitions.

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Outline



- 2 The BSRL Meta-Model
 - Meta-Model
 - Assumptions
 - QoS Specifications
 - Penalties
- 3 Value / Strategy Modeling
- 4 Service Evolution

5 Summary

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Standard Service Descriptions and Meta Model



(日) (同) (三) (三)

Service Description Attributes Standard for Semantic Services

ID , Pre-condition , Post-condition , Inputs , Outputs

A.K. Ghose, L.S. Le, K. Hoesch-Klohe, E. Morrison The Business Service Representation Language A Prelimin.

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Outline



- 2 The BSRL Meta-Model
 - Meta-Model

Assumptions

- QoS Specifications
- Penalties
- 3 Value / Strategy Modeling
- 4 Service Evolution

5 Summary

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

- Assumptions are conditions whose truth values cannot always be reliably evaluated, but which are germane to the execution or abortion of a service.
- Formally assumptions are conditions whose violation may lead to the abortion of a service (but which do not need to be proven true at invocation time, as with pre-conditions).

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

- Our research trajectory led us to the notion of assumptions in the following ways:
 - While modeling service repositories for government agencies we found natural descriptions of 'client responsibilities' (client responsibilities are also common in contracts)
 - We also found statements of conditions that referred to aspects of the domain or business context (as opposed to assumptions about client behaviour). Force Majeure clauses are common in most contracts.
 - Assumptions can be used at design time to check the validity of service compisitions.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Outline

Introduction

2 The BSRL Meta-Model

- Meta-Model
- Assumptions
- QoS Specifications
- Penalties
- 3 Value / Strategy Modeling
- 4 Service Evolution

5 Summary

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

QoS Specifications.

- Quality of service specifications provides a measure that describes the effectiveness of a business service
- QoS specifications are constraints that describe operational aspects of service qualities.
- QoS factors can be described qualitatively or quantitatively. Examples of QoS Specifications include:
 - Delivery in under 30 minutes

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

QoS Specifications.

- Quality of service specifications provides a measure that describes the effectiveness of a business service
- QoS specifications are constraints that describe operational aspects of service qualities.
- QoS factors can be described qualitatively or quantitatively. Examples of QoS Specifications include:

• Delivery in under 30 minutes

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

QoS Specifications.

- Quality of service specifications provides a measure that describes the effectiveness of a business service
- QoS specifications are constraints that describe operational aspects of service qualities.
- QoS factors can be described qualitatively or quantitatively. Examples of QoS Specifications include:
 - Delivery in under 30 minutes

• • • • • • • • •

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Outline

Introduction

2 The BSRL Meta-Model

- Meta-Model
- Assumptions
- QoS Specifications
- Penalties
- 3 Value / Strategy Modeling
- 4 Service Evolution

5 Summary

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

Penalties.

- Specified as condition and amount pairs
- Given a 'condition' C, a penalty P is invoked as reparation for condition C becoming true
 - e.g. If paint is spilled on carpet then penalty is cost of cleaning the carpet.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

Penalties.

- Specified as condition and amount pairs
- Given a 'condition' C, a penalty P is invoked as reparation for condition C becoming true
 - e.g. If paint is spilled on carpet then penalty is cost of cleaning the carpet.

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

Service Value Model.

- Is used to show how a service delivers value to a particular stakeholder
- Modeled as a service requirement that explains the purpose of the service.
- Payment/delivery schedules: Specific QoS Specification that describes the service delivery schedule
- No existing theory to support schedule decomposition

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

Service Value Model.

- Is used to show how a service delivers value to a particular stakeholder
- Modeled as a service requirement that explains the purpose of the service.
- Payment/delivery schedules: Specific QoS Specification that describes the service delivery schedule
- No existing theory to support schedule decomposition

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Meta-Model Assumptions QoS Specifications Penalties Service Value Model

Service Descriptions for BSRL

Service Value Model.

- Is used to show how a service delivers value to a particular stakeholder
- Modeled as a service requirement that explains the purpose of the service.
- Payment/delivery schedules: Specific QoS Specification that describes the service delivery schedule
- No existing theory to support schedule decomposition

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Value / Strategy Modeling

- Description of Value Modeling with respect to Service Modeling (achievement of functional goals that contribute to refined strategy models).
- SML
 - Goals
 - Plans
 - Optimization Objectives

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Value / Strategy Modeling

- Description of Value Modeling with respect to Service Modeling (achievement of functional goals that contribute to refined strategy models).
- SML
 - Goals
 - Plans
 - Optimization Objectives

• • • • • • • • •

Value / Strategy Modeling

- Goals
 - Descriptions of conditions that an organization seeks to achieve.
 - "Our corporate strategy is to be the market leader in mobile handsets"
- Plans
 - A set of goals with a set of sequencing constraints
 - "First gain market acceptance in NZ, then position ourselves in the UK market, and then use UK market credibility to enter Australian market."
- Optimization Objectives
 - An objective used to describe an optimal solution (configuration of strategy refinements).
 - "Maximize customer satisfaction"

医子宫医子宫 医下

Impact Analysis Trade-off analysis

Service Evolution

- Drivers for such modifications might be: Re-purposing, improvement, compliance.
- Service re-purposing, necessitated by altered requirements/goals/strategies that the service was designed to realize.
- Service improvement, i.e., improving the performance of the service relative to one or more QoS factors
- Operational drivers, such as changes to service delivery platforms.
- Compliance, i.e., service re-design triggered by a finding of non-compliance.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Impact Analysis Trade-off analysis

Service Evolution

- Drivers for such modifications might be: Re-purposing, improvement, compliance.
- Service re-purposing, necessitated by altered requirements/goals/strategies that the service was designed to realize.
- Service improvement, i.e., improving the performance of the service relative to one or more QoS factors
- Operational drivers, such as changes to service delivery platforms.
- Compliance, i.e., service re-design triggered by a finding of non-compliance.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Impact Analysis Trade-off analysis

Service Evolution

- Drivers for such modifications might be: Re-purposing, improvement, compliance.
- Service re-purposing, necessitated by altered requirements/goals/strategies that the service was designed to realize.
- Service improvement, i.e., improving the performance of the service relative to one or more QoS factors
- Operational drivers, such as changes to service delivery platforms.
- Compliance, i.e., service re-design triggered by a finding of non-compliance.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Impact Analysis Trade-off analysis

Service Evolution

- Drivers for such modifications might be: Re-purposing, improvement, compliance.
- Service re-purposing, necessitated by altered requirements/goals/strategies that the service was designed to realize.
- Service improvement, i.e., improving the performance of the service relative to one or more QoS factors
- Operational drivers, such as changes to service delivery platforms.
- Compliance, i.e., service re-design triggered by a finding of non-compliance.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Impact Analysis Trade-off analysis

Service Evolution

- Drivers for such modifications might be: Re-purposing, improvement, compliance.
- Service re-purposing, necessitated by altered requirements/goals/strategies that the service was designed to realize.
- Service improvement, i.e., improving the performance of the service relative to one or more QoS factors
- Operational drivers, such as changes to service delivery platforms.
- Compliance, i.e., service re-design triggered by a finding of non-compliance.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Impact Analysis Trade-off analysis

Service Evolution

Impact analysis

- Understanding the impact of the proposed change on the value model.
- Given a change to the organizations services, are there any strategies that are no longer realized.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Impact Analysis Trade-off analysis

Service Evolution

Impact analysis

- Understanding the impact of the proposed change on the value model.
- Given a change to the organizations services, are there any strategies that are no longer realized.

• • • • • • • • •

Impact Analysis Trade-off analysis

Service Evolution

Trade-off analysis

- Identification of best amongst a collection of service designs
- Impact candidate change measure

 $\delta[(\sum_{i=0}^n V_i - V_i'), (\sum_{i=0}^n V_i' - V_i)]$

- Where V_i is the current value proposition for stakeholder i and V'_i is the to-be value proposition for stakeholder i.
- $V_i V'_i$ is the new independant value of the to-be service for stakeholder i.
- $V'_i V_i$ is the independant value of the as-is service for stakeholder *i*.
- The function $\delta(x,y)$ outputs the difference in service value for all stakeholders for a particular service.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト -

Impact Analysis Trade-off analysis

Service Evolution

Trade-off analysis

- Identification of best amongst a collection of service designs
- Impact candidate change measure

 $\delta[(\sum_{i=0}^n V_i - V_i'), (\sum_{i=0}^n V_i' - V_i)]$

- Where V_i is the current value proposition for stakeholder i and V'_i is the to-be value proposition for stakeholder i.
- $V_i V'_i$ is the new independant value of the to-be service for stakeholder i.
- $V'_i V_i$ is the independant value of the as-is service for stakeholder *i*.
- The function $\delta(x, y)$ outputs the difference in service value for all stakeholders for a particular service.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Summary

- We have developed a language BSRL Business Service Requirements Language
- This will aid in describing business services using natural definitions
- Key innovations:
 - Several novel service modeling constructs
 - A focus on correlating service models with resource models (where available) to understand provisioning reaquirements
 - A focus on correlating service models with value models
 - A focus on principled service decomposition (which also provides an account of contract-to-subcontract decomposition

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト